

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/662,699	09/15/2003	Agne Swerin	IP 023445	1036	
7590 09/08/2006			EXAMINER		
Richard C. Stewart, II			MAYES, DIONNE WALLS		
Chief Intellectual Property Counsel International Paper Company 6285 Tri Ridge Boulevard			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1731		
Loveland, OH	45140-7910		DATE MAILED: 09/08/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/662,699	SWERIN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Dionne Walls Mayes	1731	

	Dionne Walls Mayes	1/31					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 23 August 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Nor a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, affitice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
 a)	The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70		E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th					
AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1)	16 and 41.33(a)).						
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	lowable if submitted in a separate,	·					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		Il be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-30</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	_						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ls to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacr	ned.				
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. 	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	·					
13.		Dionne Walls Maye	Mayo)				
		Dionne Walls Maye Primary Examiner	es ()				

Art Unit: 1731

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant has amended claims 2 and 17 which would require further consideration and/or search .

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the Examiner is not convinced by Applicant's arguments. The FINAL REJECTION mailed on 2/23/06 is maintained.